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## Data Analyses

1. $M / P$ Files - Volume and Throughput
2. Equity Analyses

- Gender
- Ethnicity

3. Agreement Rates

- Overall
- Comparison of AY21-22 with AY20-21
- By College

4. Tendencies within colleges/schools for more than normal actions
5. Deferrals

## Progress of $M /$ P File Completion



## AY21-22 Merit and Promotion Outcomes by Gender

| Campus Profile | Overall 100\% | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 36.2\% |  | 73.8\% |  |
|  |  | Observed | Expected | Observed | Expected |
| Positive Merits | 226 | 99 | 82 | 127 | 144 |
| Accelerated | 50 | 23 | 18 | 27 | 32 |
| Normative Time | 163 | 75 | 59 | 88 | 104 |
| Decelerated | 13 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New O/S with Positive Merits | 112 | 56 | 41 | 56 | 71 |
| Promotions | 95 | 36 | 34 | 59 | 61 |
| Advance to VI | 9 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| Advance to A/S | 10 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Advance within A/S | 12 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 8 |
| Promotion to Associate | 45 | 19 | 16 | 26 | 29 |
| Promotion to Full | 19 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merit instead of Promotion to Full | 2 | 0 |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Positive Outcome | 6 | 0 |  | 6 |  |
| Denied Merit | 4 | 0 |  | 4 |  |
| Denied Advance within A/S | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| Denied Promotion to Associate | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  |

GREEN: More advancement cases than expected according to hypergeometric p -value
RED: Less advancement cases than expected according to hypergeometric $p$-value
Not shown in the tables are reviews around quinquennials, appraisals, and appointments.

## AY21-22 Merit and Promotion Outcomes by Ethnicity Group

|  | Total | Asian | Black/African American | Hispanic/ Latino | American Indian/ Alaskan Native | Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus Profile | 100\% | 29.62\% | 4.25\% | 8.73\% | 1.72\% | 0.34\% | 55.34\% |
| Positive Merits | 226 | 68 (67) | 16 (10) | 25 (20) | 4 (4) | 2 (1) | 111 (125) |
| Accelerated | 50 | 16 (15) | 5 (2) | 4 (4) | 1 (1) | 1 (0) | 23 (28) |
| Normative Time | 163 | 52 (48) | 11 (7) | 21 (14) | 3 (3) | 1 (1) | 77 (90) |
| Decelerated | 13 | 2 (4) | 0 (1) | 0 (1) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 11 (7) |
| New O/S with Positive Merits | 112 | 38 (33) | 9 (5) | 10 (10) | 3 (2) | 1 (0) | 51 (62) |
| Promotions | 95 | 32 (28) | 2 (4) | 3 (8) | 2 (2) | 1 (0) | 55 (53) |
| Advance to VI | 9 | 2 (3) | 0 (0) | 0 (1) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 7 (5) |
| Advance to A/S | 10 | 3 (3) | 0 (0) | 1 (1) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 6 (6) |
| Advance within A/S | 12 | 3 (4) | 0 (1) | 1 (1) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 8 (7) |
| Promotion to Associate | 45 | 17 (13) | 2 (2) | 1 (4) | 2 (1) | 1 (0) | 22 (25) |
| Promotion to Full | 19 | 7 (6) | 0 (1) | 0 (2) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 12 (11) |
| Merit instead of Promotion to Full | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| No Positive Outcome | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Denied Merit | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Denied Advance within A/S | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Denied Promotion to Associate | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

GREEN: More progression than expected.
RED: Less progression than expected.
Not shown in the table are reviews around quinquennials, appraisals, and appointments.

## M/P Decisions - Overall Agreement Rate Analysis

1. An $M / P$ file can have multiple decisions. For example, a merit advance is one decision but a second decision might be about new $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{S}$. Another example is that multiple placement levels might be considered at promotion.
2. Excluding reappointments, quinquennials, and appraisals, there were 676 AY21-22 decisions made during reviews at the Department, at the Dean's Office, at CAP, by the VPAP, and by the Provost.
3. The table shows the percentage of the decisions that the row entity and the column entity agreed upon.
4. Arrows point toward the decision entity that was supportive more often as determined by a 5\% McNemar test. Absence of an arrow implies there was no tendency for one or the other entities to be more supportive.

| $\mathrm{N}=676$ | Department | Dean | CAP | VPAP | Provost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department |  | $\leftarrow 87$ | $\leftarrow 81$ | 84 | 86 |
| Dean |  |  | $83 \uparrow$ | $85 \uparrow$ | $86 \uparrow$ |
| CAP |  |  |  | $91 \uparrow$ | $94 \uparrow$ |
| VPAP |  |  |  |  | 95 |

Table 1a. Agreement Percentages all Colleges/Schools Combined

## M/P Decisions - Agreement Rate Analysis

1. Considering just the first decision to be made on files, the lowest level advance being reviewed, there are 333 decisions.
2. The table shows the percentage of the decisions that the row entity and the column entity agreed upon.
3. Arrows point toward the decision entity that was supportive more often as determined by a $5 \%$ McNemar test. Absence of an arrow implies there was no tendency for one or the other entities to be more supportive.

| $\mathrm{N}=333$ | Department | Dean | CAP | VPAP | Provost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department |  | 98 | $\leftarrow 94$ | 97 | 97 |
| Dean |  |  | $\leftarrow 94$ | 97 | 98 |
| CAP |  |  |  | $95 \uparrow$ | $96 \uparrow$ |
| VPAP |  |  |  |  | 99 |

Table 1b. Agreement Percentages all Colleges/Schools Combined

## Overall Agreement Rates - Comparison of Last Two Years



## M/P Decisions - Agreement Rate Analysis by College/School

| N =231 | Department | Dean | CAP | VPAP | Provost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department |  | $\leftarrow 86$ | $\leftarrow 77$ | $\leftarrow 84$ | $\leftarrow 85$ |
| Dean |  |  | 84 | 87 | $88 \uparrow$ |
| CAP |  |  |  | $90 \uparrow$ | $92 \uparrow$ |
| VPAP |  |  |  |  | 95 |

Table 2. Agreement Percentages Within CHASS

| $\mathrm{N}=240$ | Department | Dean | CAP | VPAP | Provost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department |  | $\leftarrow 83$ | 82 | 84 | $86 \uparrow$ |
| Dean |  |  | $83 \uparrow$ | $83 \uparrow$ | $82 \uparrow$ |
| CAP |  |  |  | 93 | $95 \uparrow$ |
| VPAP |  |  |  |  | 97 |

Table 3. Agreement Percentages Within CNAS

## M/P Decisions - Agreement Rate Analysis by College/School

| $N=114$ | Department | Dean | CAP | VPAP | Provost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department |  | 94 | 86 | $87 \uparrow$ | $87 \uparrow$ |
| Dean |  |  | 89 | $89 \uparrow$ | $89 \uparrow$ |
| CAP |  |  |  | 94 | 97 |
| VPAP |  |  |  |  | 96 |

Table 4. Agreement Percentages Within BCOE

| $N=11$ | Department | Dean | CAP | VPAP | Provost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department |  | 82 | 91 | 64 | 73 |
| Dean |  |  | 73 | 82 | 91 |
| CAP |  |  |  | 73 | 82 |
| VPAP |  |  |  |  | 91 |

Table 5. Agreement Percentages Within SPP

## M/P Decisions - Agreement Rate Analysis by College/School

| $\mathrm{N}=33$ | Department | Dean | CAP | VPAP | Provost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department |  | 97 | 79 | 85 | 85 |
| Dean |  |  | 82 | 88 | 88 |
| CAP |  |  |  | 94 | 94 |
| VPAP |  |  |  |  | 100 |

Table 6. Agreement Percentages Within SOE

| $\mathrm{N}=25$ | Department | Dean | CAP | VPAP | Provost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department |  | 92 | 64 | $60 \uparrow$ | 72 |
| Dean |  |  | 72 | $68 \uparrow$ | 80 |
| CAP |  |  |  | 80 | 84 |
| VPAP |  |  |  |  | 80 |

Table 7. Agreement Percentages Within Business

## M/P Decisions - Agreement Rate Analysis by College/School

| $\mathrm{N}=22$ | Department | Dean | CAP | VPAP | Provost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department |  | 100 | 86 | 91 | 95 |
| Dean |  |  | 86 | 91 | 95 |
| CAP |  |  |  | 95 | 91 |
| VPAP |  |  |  |  | 95 |

Table 8. Agreement Percentages Within SOM

## Review Entity Profiles of Agreement Rates

Department


Dean


## Review Entity Profiles of Agreement Rates

CAP


VPAP


## Review Entity Profiles of Agreement Rates

PEVC


## Types of Considerations for AY21-22 Merit and Promotion Files



Type of Consideration: Each merit and promotion file, irrespective of the outcome, is viewed either as a normal advance, a normal advance with new O/S, or a multiple step advance, based on what type of action was reviewed.

# Analysis of Reviews Deferred In AY21-22 

74 deferrals in AY21-22


Difference between $25 \%$ and $44 \%$ is not statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P}=.06$ )

35 without
known factors


44\% of the Associate Professors who deferred in AY21-22 were female which matches the $44 \%$ of the Associate Professors on the entire campus that are female (107 female and 137 male). (No statistical significance, $\mathrm{P}=0.57$ )

50\% of the Full Professors I-IV who deferred in AY21-22 were female while $36 \%$ of the Full Professors I-IV on the entire campus are female ( 55 female and 97 male) (No statistical significance, $\mathrm{P}=0.26$ )

